REMARKS

The above-referenced patent application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action

mailed June 28, 2006 in which claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 23, 25, 26, 28-34 and 36-38 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Clark et al. (US Patent 5,187,780 – "Clark").

In response, Applicants respectfully disagree with those rejections. However, to expedite an

allowance of this application, amendments were made to selective claims as presented above.

Current Status of Claims:

.

With this amendment, claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 23, 25, 26, 28-34 and 36-38

remain pending. Applicants offer to amend claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 16, 19, 32, 33 and 38 as

presented above. No new matter has been introduced. Applicants respectfully submit that a new

prior art search is not warranted based on these amendments.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b):

Relevant portions of claim 1, as currently amended, are as follows:

"An apparatus comprising:

a general input/output communication port <u>to implement a</u> communication stack including a physical layer, a data link layer

and a transaction layer, the transaction layer to include

assembling a packet header for a request transaction packet to one

or more logical devices, the packet header including:

or more logical devices, the packet header including:

a format field to ...; and a type field to specify a transaction type..."

Emphasis added.

Clark does not describe the above emphasized elements of currently amended claim 1.

Clark fails to describe, either explicitly or implicitly, the implementation of a communication

Application No. 10/040,605

Examiner Choudhury
Art Unit 2145

-9-

stack as emphasized above. Thus, Applicants request that the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejection of

claim 1 be withdrawn. Independent claims 6, 11 and 19 also were amended to include similar

elements to claim 1. Additionally, claims 5, 10, 15, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28-34 and 36-38 depend from

one of independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 19. Thus, Applicants request that the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

rejections of independent claims 6, 11 and 19 and dependent claims 5, 10, 15, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28-

34 and 36-38 be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 23, 25, 26, 28-34

and 36-38 are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is

respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such

contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number

50-0221.

Respectfully submitted,

Harriman et al.

Date: _August 28, 2006_

by: /s/Ted A. Crawford/Reg. No. 50,610/

Ted A. Crawford

Reg. No. 50,610

Patent Attorney for Assignee Intel Corporation

Intel Corporation PO Box 5326

SC4-202

Santa Clara, CA 95056-5326

Tel. (503) 712.2799

Application No. 10/040,605 Atty. Docket No. P13764

Examiner Choudhury Art Unit 2145

-10-